

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
LYNCHBURG DIVISION

BOSTIC DEVELOPMENT AT  
LYNCHBURG, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 6:05-CV-00013

LIBERTY UNIVERSITY, INC.,

Serve: Sharon J. Hartless  
Registered Agent  
1971 University Blvd.  
Lynchburg, Virginia 24502

Defendant.

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT  
AND OTHER RELIEF**

COMES NOW Bostic Development at Lynchburg, LLC ("BDL"), by counsel, and files this Amended Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Other Relief against the defendant, Liberty University, Inc. ("LUI"), and in support thereof states as follows:

**PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1. BDL is a limited liability company incorporated under the laws of the State of North

Carolina and has its principal place of business in the State of North Carolina at 500 Kivett Dairy Road, McLeansville, North Carolina 27301.

2. The members of BDL are Southstar Capital, LLC, a citizen of North Carolina; CCW IV, LLC, a citizen of North Carolina; SS Lynchburg Management, Inc., a citizen of North Carolina; Michael Freeman, a citizen of North Carolina; Wink Hamill, a citizen of Georgia; and the Hahn Family Investment Trust, LLC, a citizen of North Carolina.

LAW OFFICES  
GENTRY LOCKE  
RAKES & MOORE  
ROANOKE, VIRGINIA

3. LUI is a non-stock corporation incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, and having its principal place of business in the City of Lynchburg, Virginia. Upon information and belief, Ms. Sharon J. Hartless is the registered agent for LUI.

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The parties are of diverse citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

5. ~~This is, in part, an action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. P. 57~~ and 28 U.S.C. § 2201, for the purpose of adjudicating an actual controversy between BDL and LUI as to the contractual relationship existing between the parties.

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7. On or about April 24, 2003, BDL, as landlord, entered into a Master Lease Agreement (“the Lease”) with LUI, as tenant. The Lease provides, among other things, that LUI agrees to lease and rent from BDL certain premises (the “Premises”) described in the Lease and the Exhibits attached thereto. A true and correct copy of the Lease and its corresponding Exhibits is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

8. The Lease also requires that BDL cause to be constructed on the Premises and delivered to LUI certain apartment units (the “Units”). Following execution of the Lease, BDL entered into a contract with Bostic Construction, Inc. to construct the Units (“the Project”).

9. During the course of the Project, LUI exercised its authority under the terms of the Lease, and directed Bostic Construction, Inc., a separate entity from BDL, to perform additional work on the Project. Although Bostic Construction, Inc. performed the work at LUI’s request, LUI has refused to pay Bostic Construction, Inc. at least \$600,000.00 for the additional work LUI requested from Bostic Construction, Inc.

10. As a result, at least in part, of LUI's failure to pay Bostic Construction, Inc., multiple subcontractors and vendors have filed mechanic's liens ("the Liens") against the Premises that is owned by BDL ("the Lienors"). In addition, some of the Lienors have filed suits ("the Lawsuits") in the Lynchburg City Circuit Court seeking to enforce the Liens. BDL is a named defendant in all of those Lawsuits.

11. At least one of Bostic Construction, Inc.'s subcontractors has filed a Motion for Judgment against BDL as a result of Bostic Construction, Inc.'s alleged failure to pay that subcontractor.

12. The amount of the Liens are in excess of \$1,000,000.

13. The mortgagee for the Premises has made a demand upon BDL to bond-off the Liens. To the extent BDL is forced to bond-off the Liens, it will expend significant amounts of monies in so doing.

14. Although LUI has acknowledged at least \$445,198.85 for the labor, materials and/or supplies provided by Bostic Construction, Inc., LUI has failed to pay Bostic Construction, Inc., and thereby forced BDL to expend monies, including attorney's fees, to defend itself against the Lawsuits.

15. The Lease requires LUI to indemnify BDL for the additional work that LUI directed Bostic Construction, Inc. to perform. Despite its obligation to indemnify BDL, LUI has failed and refused to do so.

16. The Lease permits the prevailing party of this litigation to recover its reasonable attorney's fees, court costs and cost of discovery. BDL seeks to recover those damages from LUI.

17. As a direct and proximate result of LUI's breach of the Lease, BDL has incurred, is incurring, or will incur damages currently estimated at \$ \$250,000.00.

18. In addition, LUI is asserting, through letters to BDL and other communications, that BDL is responsible for amounts totaling up to \$1,354,043 for late and incomplete delivery of the buildings and grounds associated with the Lease, including costs for completing construction, late fees, and lost revenues.

19. BDL also believes that LUI has exceeded the occupancy of the Units within the Premises as previously agreed to within the Lease or otherwise between BDL and LUI.

**Count I: Declaratory Judgment**

20. BDL hereby incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19, above, as if fully set forth herein.

21. There exists an actual controversy between LUI and BDL as to items and amounts being asserted by LUI against BDL, as set forth in Paragraph 18, above.

22. LUI is not entitled to recover against BDL for the items and amounts being asserted.

23. Accordingly, an actual controversy exists between the parties as to their legal obligations under the Lease.

WHEREFORE, BDL requests that this court enter an Order construing the Lease, and declaring the respective rights and obligations of the parties thereunder, including the following:

(a) that BDL is not in default under the terms of the Lease;

(b) that LUI is not entitled to recover against BDL for the items and the amounts referenced

in Paragraph 18, above, or otherwise; and

(c) that LUI has materially breached the terms of the Lease such that BDL is relieved of any further or future obligations under the lease and declare that the Lease is terminated.

BDL asks for this relief together with such additional relief as the Court may deem appropriate, including an award of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in bringing this action.

**Count II: Damages for Breach of Lease**

24. BDL hereby incorporates Paragraph 1 through 19, above, as if fully set forth herein.


25. As a result of LUI's failure to fulfill obligations it has to Bostic Construction, Inc., BDL has incurred, is incurring, and/or will incur damages, including costs to defend against mechanic's lien claims, costs to bond-off mechanic's lien memoranda, and attorney's fees, as set forth above.

26. LUI's failures in regards to Bostic Construction, Inc. constitute a breach of the Lease with BDL.

WHEREFORE, BDL requests that the Court enter judgment against LUI in an amount to be proven at trial, but currently estimated at \$250,000.00 for damages, plus pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, attorney's fees, costs and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

BOSTIC DEVELOPMENT AT LYNCHBURG, LLC DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

BOSTIC DEVELOPMENT AT LYNCHBURG,  
LLC

By:   
Of Counsel

K. Brett Marston (VSB No. 35900)  
J. Barrett Lucy (VSB No. 48512)  
GENTRY LOCKE RAKES & MOORE, LLP  
800 SunTrust Plaza  
P.O. Box 40013  
Roanoke, Virginia 24022-0013  
Telephone: (540) 983-9300  
Facsimile: (540) 983-9400  
Counsel for Bostic Development at Lynchburg,  
LLC

LAW OFFICES  
GENTRY LOCKE  
RAKES & MOORE  
ROANOKE, VIRGINIA